

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/900,334	07/06/2001	Peter K. Malkin	YOR9-2001-0313US1 (8728-5	6308
22150	7590 01/18/2006	EXAMINER		NER
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			LANEAU, RONALD	
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3627	-

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/900,334	MALKIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ronald Laneau	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a eriod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a pd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
ratus			
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This action is FINAL. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		erits is
sposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
pplication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyonection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	age
tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 10/31/05 has been entered. Claims 1-20 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 5-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrod et al (US 6,405,049) in view of Berstis (US 6,542,824 B1).

As per claim 1, Herrod discloses a method for providing product information (Figs. 6-8) comprising the steps of: establishing a local reference frame which describes a space including a product identifies and a portable display device (Figs. 5-8); receiving a request for product information corresponding to the product identifier from the portable display device (Fig. 8a; col. 11); determining a position and an orientation of the portable display device in relation to the local reference game (col. 17, lines 3-14, Figs. 4-8); providing the product information via the portable display device (col. 2, lines 55-66). Herrod does not disclose an orientation in the three-dimensional space but Berstis discloses a portable display according to the position and orientation of the portable display device in the three-dimensional space (see fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to utilize pda (portable display) to provide the orientation of the portable display in the three-dimensional space as taught by Berstis into the system of Herrod because it would provide

Art Unit: 3627

information and position of the portable display device using sensors that can detect movement and therefore finding the location of said device.

As per claim 2, Herrod discloses receiving an order for a product corresponding to the product identifier from the portable display device (col. 13).

As per claim 3, Herrod discloses as set forth above. Herrod additionally discloses that there are numerous applications and advantages are provided by the system shown in Figs. 1, 2a and 2B, particularly the advantages of a hand-held terminal such ms portability, ease of use and suitability for mobile use in the application of auctions (col. 7). Moreover, auction are also a retail environment like a grocery store and thus the same applications or local frame references would apply, e.g. car auctions, and auctions of goods that are displayed for view (Christie's and Bankruptcy Auctions of goods and merchandise). However, neither Herrod nor Clapper discloses the step of receiving a bid where the bid corresponds to a product identifier from the portable display device. However, as Herrod discloses that the product can be used in auctions it would have to accept a bid where the bid corresponds to a product identifier from the portable display device because otherwise there would be no way to link the bid to the product. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized a bid with the system/method of Herrod because Herrod discloses that it can be used in such an environment and because it would facilitate the flow of people and exchange of merchandise, thus creating more sales and facilitating the speed of transactions, just like any other retail environment.

As per claim 5, Herrod discloses the step of providing a menu for distinguishing a plurality of products in the space via the portable display device (Figs. 5-8; col. 11).

Art Unit: 3627

As per claim 6, Herrod discloses the step of redirecting a user toward an alternative product using the portable display device (Figs. 5-8; col. 11).

As per claim 7, Herr discloses determining the orientation of the portable display device and utilizing that orientation to select options to present to the consumer based upon the location of the product being looked for and another proximate object on the basis of the portable display device (Figs. 5-8; col. 11).

As per claims 8 and 9, Herrod discloses the user of active beacons in the use of determining position by comparing strength (col. 10).

As per claims 10-12, Herrod discloses the use of a geometric positioning system, i.e. using passive environmental markings and determining position relative to an angle between at least two environmental markings (cols. 10-11).

As per claim 13, Herrod discloses that the product information is retrieved from a database stored in the portable display device (Figs. 5-8; cols. 7-8 - the pda stores the information to obtain the product information short term and the links to upload it from the central databases).

As per claim 14, Herrod discloses that the local reference frame is established relative to the portable display device and moves with the portable display device (Figs. 5-8 - You are Here; cols. 10-12).

System claims 15-18 are rejected for the same reasons set forth above in the method claims above. The means for language has been given its broadest reasonable interpretation.

As per claim 19, Herrod discloses a wireless communications link between the portable display device and a database of product information (Figs. 2-5; cols. 5-8).

Art Unit: 3627

The program storage device apparatus of claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons as the method claims set forth above.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrod, et al. (6,405,049 B2) in view of Berstis (US 6,542,834 B1) and further in view of Stevens (US 2002/0087392 A1).

As per claim 4, see Herrod and Berstis as set forth above. Herrod additionally discloses that portable terminals include a wide range of applications including word processing, etc. (col. 1). Herrod further discloses that the portable terminal can contain personal ID information and can include customer's buying patterns and preferences, which could include a shopping list to assist in the targeting of product advertisements (Fig.8 and cols. 10-1 1). However, neither Herrod nor Berstis specifically discloses a corresponding a product identifier to a shopping list. Stevens discloses corresponding a product identifier to a shopping list as it is being created a "to-do-list" on a portable terminal in the shopping environment for price verification and list maintenance for the customer so that he/she know at all times what has been spent in the store ([0014], [0053]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to utilize pda (portable display) to provide the orientation of the portable display in the three-dimensional space as taught by Berstis into the system of Herrod because it would provide information and position of the portable display device using sensors that can detect movement and therefore finding the location of said device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3627

skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the shopping list of Stevens in the combined system/method of Herrod and Berstis for the reasons set forth above.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments about Herrod not teaching "providing the product information via the portable display device." In response to Applicant's arguments, Herrod does disclose providing the product information via the portable display device (col. 2, lines 55-66). Applicant's arguments about Clapper are moot in view of the additional reference in Berstis who discloses the missing elements in Herrod. As a result, claims 1-20 remain rejected.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3627

Page 7

1/18/06

Ronald Laneau

Ronald Donesu

Examiner

Art Unit 3627

rl